UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/567,672 | 12/12/2007 | Kristian Lillerud | 80867-001 | 4001 |
| | 7590 10/02/200 KWELL SANDERS L | EXAMINER | | |
| 190 CARONDELET PLAZA SUITE 600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63105-3441 | | | WILLIAMS, DON J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2878 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/02/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| N. 42 CAL 1 | 10/567,672 | LILLERUD ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DON WILLIAMS | 2878 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which places the | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is | | | | | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | | | |
| 7. X The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| Confirmation from Mr. Robert C. Handiman via phor mailed regarding the previous office action. | ne on Wednesday, September 23 | s, 2009 that no response was | | | | |
| /Georgia Y Epps/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2878 | | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | | | | |